Equivalent Dipole Model optimized by Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm for Modeling Antennas in their Context

A. REINEIX – C. GUIFFAUT Institut Xlim Faculté des Sciences et Techniques 123 Av Albert Thomas 87060 LIMOGES CEDEX







Introduction



Increasing number of embedded antennas.....











Introduction

Problem to be solved:

Generally antennas are characterized alones: : → S11 matching coefficient → S21 radiation pattern

⇒ What becomes the behavior of the antenna when embedded ?

→ effect of the environment (carrier)

 \rightarrow coupling between antennas

How to realize theoretical prediction of their behavior ?

Difficulty of modeling:

- \rightarrow modeling to the scale of the antenna
- \rightarrow modeling to the scale of the carrier





=> Necessity of finding a model able to reproduce the same behavior as the original antenna







Proposed approach

Méthodology:

Replacing the antenna by a collection of elementary electrical dipoles

Knowledge of the radiated field for a given antenna

Radiation of a distribution of triaxial elementary dipoles equivalent to the antenna from radiation point of view.











Proposed approach

After the optimization phasis, introduction of dipolar source model in a FDTD software

- → Position, magnitude and phases of dipole sources (at f0 frequency)
- → Time Domain Analytical formula for the near field calculation (E and H) on a Huygens surface surrounding the electrical dipole model

 $(\vec{E}, \vec{H}) = f(Idl_x, Idl_y, Idl_z)$

→ Radiation of the Huygens surface in 3D space thanks to the FDTD method CircularPinFedLinearlyPolarised



Méthodology

Initial Data :

- * Antenna: geometry
- * Working Frequency f_0
- * Complex far field radiated in all the direction of the 3D space.

Angular step $(\Delta \theta, \Delta \phi) \longrightarrow N_{\theta} \times N_{\varphi}$ components $(E_{\theta}, E_{\varphi})$

Gridding of the space containing the sources:

Dimensions of the volume surrounding the antenna $L_x \times L_y \times L_z$

Definition of a regular grid: $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z$

Definition of elementary triaxial dipoles at each node Mi of the regular grid

$$p_{i} = (p_{x_{i}}, p_{y_{i}}, p_{z_{i}}) = (Idl_{x_{i}}, Idl_{y_{i}}, Idl_{z_{i}})$$







Calculation of the magnitude of dipolar momentum

Direct function: radiation of dipoles

Analytical formula for the radiation of an elementary electrical dipole whose momentum is $p_i = Idl_i$

$$\vec{E}_{j}(r_{j},\theta_{j},\varphi_{j}) = \frac{jk\eta}{4\pi r_{j}} \frac{e^{-j\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_{j}}}{r_{j}} e^{j\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_{i}} (\vec{r}_{j}\times\vec{p}_{i}\times\vec{r}_{j})$$

Far field approximation:

$$\vec{k}.\vec{r}_{ij} \approx kr_j - \vec{r}_i.\vec{r}_j \longrightarrow E_i(r_j) = \frac{jk\eta}{4\pi r_j} e^{-j\vec{k}r} e^{j\vec{k}(\hat{r}_i\cdot\hat{r}_j)} (\hat{r}_j \times p \times \hat{r}_j)$$

Components of the far electric field radiated by a triaxial dipole at an Mi point

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{xj} \\ E_{yj} \\ E_{zj} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{xxji} & G_{xyji} & G_{xzji} \\ G_{yxji} & G_{yyji} & G_{yzji} \\ G_{zxji} & G_{zyji} & G_{zzji} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{xi} \\ p_{yi} \\ p_{zi} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} G \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F \end{bmatrix}$$

Choice of an optimization method:

Optimization using metaheuristic approaches for inverse problems : genetic, Simulated Annealing, PSO, Ant Colony Optimization ...

Definition of the objective function

$$f = \frac{\displaystyle{\sum_{\theta} \sum_{\phi} \left| E(\theta, \phi) - E_{ref}\left(\theta, \phi\right) \right|^2}}{\displaystyle{\sum_{\theta} \sum_{\phi} \left| E_{ref}\left(\theta, \phi\right) \right|^2}}$$









Origins of the ACO Algorithm



The objectif of M. Dorigo (Ant System Algorithm 1992):

→ Resolution of the TSP problems that consists in finding the shortest path in a graph.

Each Ant try to find a path between its nest and the food location.

Experiment of Jean Louis Deneubourg (with « Iridomyrmex humilis ») :

=> Proves the capability of adaptation of the ants according to the problem to be solved









Process in the case of real ants



- An ant chooses at random a path to go from N to F, then come back from F to N.
- Pheromone deposit
- Next ants → follow the path with more pheromone

After some iterations, the shortest path is followed by the ants (in term of travel time)

How to avoid local minima ? → Evaporation phenomenon









Resolution of a discrete problem

Application to artificial ants of the Traveling Salesman Problem

Presentation of the problem





Objective: searching an Hamiltonian path – the shortest path traveling in all town one and only one time.







Resolution of a discrete problem

Algorithm

- Definition of the nodes in the graph
- Considering N ants starting from a node of the graph
- Each ant follows a closed circuit that goes through all towns
- If the ant k goes through the (i,j) segment, pheromone is deposited on this

• Calculation of the pheromone all along all the segments for all ants

$$\tau_{ij}(t+1) = (1-\rho)\tau_{ij}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta \tau_{ij}^{k}(t)$$

Term for taking evaporation phenomena into account Pheromone increasing







Resolution of a discrete problem

• At the following iteration: the probability for the k ant to chose the xy path becomes:

$$\begin{cases} p_{xy}^{k}(t) = \frac{\tau_{xy}^{\alpha} \eta_{xy}^{\beta}}{\sum_{ij} \tau_{xy}^{\alpha} \eta_{xy}^{\beta}} & si \ j \in T_{x}^{k} \\ 0 & sinon \end{cases}$$
$$= \frac{1}{d} \qquad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{distance \ between \ two \ nodes} \end{cases}$$

- $\alpha = 0$ Only the visibility of nodes will be taken into account
- $\beta = 0$ Only the pheromone deposit will have an influence



 η





Approach due to SOCHA (2008) : ACO-R

Methodology:

The idea is to keep a similar algorithm as in the discrete case (combinational optimization) to mix continuous and discrete variables: mixed optimization

• Definition of an archive table for the storage of parameters value



Value of the objective function : $F = [f(s_1) f(s_2) \dots f(s_k) \dots f(s_K)]^T$

Performance of each ant

 $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \ \omega_2 \ \dots \ \omega_k \ \dots \ \omega_K \end{bmatrix}^T$







Initialization:

• For each parameter: definition of a means value and of a standard deviation :

$$\mu_{k}^{i} = a_{i} + (2k-1)\frac{b_{i} - a_{i}}{2K} \qquad \qquad \sigma_{k}^{i} = \frac{b_{i} - a_{i}}{2K}$$

• Definition of an evolutive probability law for each parameter (multi gaussian kernels) :

$$P(x^{i}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \omega_{k} g(x^{i}, \mu_{k}^{i}, \sigma_{k}^{i}) \qquad \text{with:} \qquad \omega_{i} = \frac{1}{qK\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(i-1)^{2}}{2q^{2}K^{2}}}$$

• Probability of ant selection all along the iteration process :

$$= \frac{\omega_k}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \omega_j} \qquad \qquad \sigma = \xi \sum_{p=1}^{K} \frac{\left| s_p^i - s_j^i \right|}{K - 1}$$

The standard deviation parameter is decreasing as the time increases that is t say when the number of iteration increases.



 p_k





$$Tab = \begin{bmatrix} s_1^1 & \cdots & s_1^N \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_k^1 & s_k^i & s_k^N \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_K^1 & \cdots & s_K^N \end{bmatrix}$$

- selection if random numbers for the parameters as function of the position in the table
- Construction of r supplementary ants
- Ranking the solutions and sorting using the objective function
- Forgotten the r last solutions

Remarks:

- The number of ants is always the same all along the iterations,
- The suppression of the r lasts acts as an evaporation process



Iterations:





From the discrete case to the continuous case



Example of parameters variations all along the iteration process



Martin Schlueter, « *Nonlinear mixed integer based Optimization Technique for Space Applications* » Thesis submitted to the University of Birmingham for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy - December 2011







Validation on an array of two dipoles

The case of a 2 dipoles array in the xoy plane oriented in the x direction is considered \rightarrow formation of the diagram in the yoz plane (H plane)

$$Idx_{1} = Idlx_{2} = 1$$

$$dx_{1} = dx_{2} = 0.3m$$

Y

_			
[EV	AL:	30000, TIME: 14.90, IFAIL: 1]	
F(X) =	0.000007072149592600	
	1) _	 _	BOUNDS-PROFILER
	1) = 2	0.999990649610914190	XX
X(2) =	0.999996854963096760	!X
X(3) =	0.00000085570233441	!x
X(4) =	0.000000128234711033	! x
X(5) =	-0.00000008576101837	! x
X(6) =	-0.00000008279563937	!x
X(7) =	0.000049314257684684	!x
X(8) =	0.000049201359720919	!x
X(9) =	-0.00000027127221718	!x
X(10) =	0.00000030661306357	!x
X(11) =	0.00000018173738767	!
xi	12) =	0.00000298031256776	1 Y

Midaco software screenshot (Martin Schlueter)









Validation on an array of two dipoles

E plane



It is interesting to remark: that a phases variation of π can be observed from one lobe to the following in the far field magnitude

 \rightarrow It is possible to optimize by knowing only the magnitude using the phases reconstruction (generally only magnitude can be available to measurements)







Validation of the approach on a model of complex antenna

Quadrifilar helicoïdal antenna



FEKO software computation \rightarrow field components (E_{θ}, E_{ϕ})

Computation volume 83.2 mm * 83.2 mm * 214.5 mm

Frequency 1 GHz.

grid: 6*6*11 nodes → 396 dipoles







Validation of the approach on a mode complex antenna

Reconstruction of the 3D diagram









Reconstruction using only the two main planes









Reconstruction using only the magnitude of far field in the two main planes (phases reconstruction)











Study of a horn antenna

Characteristics of the horn



Parameter	Value
Frequency	1 GHz
Wg	235.3 mm
Hg	117.7 mm
Lg	449.7 mm
Wa	692.4 mm
На	507.1 mm
Lf	266.6 mm

Volume of the antenna = 692.4 mm × 507.1 mm × 716.3 mm









3D Reconstruction











Embedded antenna









Modeling of log periodical antenna mounted on a vehicle









Modelling of an embedded log-periodical antenna

Radiation of the antenna mounted on the vehicle



Conclusion

→ New approach for the multiscale modeling of embedded antennas knowing their free space radiation pattern

 \rightarrow Validation on different kind of antennas

Advantages:

 \rightarrow first step: construction of an antenna library of equivalent dipoles

 \rightarrow second step: introduction of the model in the FDTD grid, possibility of changing the orientation of the antenna without having to reconstruct the model



















